A Whole Cell Biofilm Model

A simulation for antibacterial evaluation

March 25, 2021 56th Central CAWQ conference



Andrew Philip Freiburger

1

Desalination is hindered by biofouling



Experimental system



PDI oxidation – to scale

Staphylococcus aureus (1.0 µm diameter 50 nm membrane)

Bacterial dimensions: <u>S. aureus</u> = sphere [0.5, 1.5]μm <u>membrane</u> [20, 80]nm

<u>P. aeruginosa</u> = rod $[0.5, 1]\mu m x [1, 5]\mu m$ <u>E. coli</u> = rod $1\mu m x 2\mu m$

hV

Human skin thickness $\approx 1.8 mm$

Singlet oxygen layer (\sim 75 *nm*)

Polyamide RO filtration membrane (~150 nm)

Membrane inactivation



Computational inspiration



The Whole Cell Model (Cell, 2012)

Bottom-up biochemical accuracy

Biofilm Models

• Top-down deterministic ODEs



Model intentions

1) Predict results

2) Educate mechanisms





Chemical workflow

Estimate kinetic constants from the inferring the values as being between known kinetic constants of other known reactions.

Simulation space with settling



Chemical reaction dynamics "flux"

3) Flux balance analysis (Cobrapy) – linear programming toward a directive



$$\begin{bmatrix} -a & -a & 0 \\ -b & 0 & 0 \\ c & 0 & -c \\ d & -d & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & z & -z \\ 0 & 0 & growth \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{bmatrix} = 0 \quad [steady \ state]$$

maximize growth = maximize v_3

 $aA + bB \rightarrow cC + dD$ $dD + aA \rightarrow yY + zZ$ $cC + zZ \rightarrow growth$



Chemical reaction dynamics "flux"

3) Flux balance analysis (Cobrapy) – linear programming toward a directive



- Thermodynamic reaction limits are approximated
- v of forward reactions ⊆ [0,1000] v of reversible reactions ⊆ [-1000,1000]

 $bound_{lower} \leq v_a \dots \leq bound_{upper}$

 $aA + bB \rightarrow cC + dD$ $dD + aA \rightarrow yY + zZ$ $cC + zZ \rightarrow growth$



Web scraping

from bs4 import BeautifulSoup

1) Standardize biochemical databases

- WholeCellKB.org
- NIST Thermodynamics of Enzyme-Catalyzed Reactions

2) Partnership with the ModelSEED database.





New directions

1) Execute a preliminary cellular model

2) Expand kinetic and thermodynamic parameterization

3) Incorporate singlet oxygen and biofilm reactions

4) Visualize through results plots and a GUI





¿Questions?

¿Critiques?

Chemical reaction dynamics "flux"

3a) Dynamic FBA (dfba) – Time variability and dependence

• [*A*], [*B*], [*C*], [*D*], [*Y*], [*Z*] are variable over simulation time

Set the initial biomass concentration
Set the initial conditions of the environment
From the starting time to the final time
Based on the current biomass concentration and environmental conditions,
 set the upper and lower bounds of the exchange reactions
Solve for the maximum growth rate and optimal fluxes
Update the biomass concentration based on the predicted growth rate
Update the environmental conditions based on the predicted exchange fluxes

 $aA + bB \rightarrow cC + dD$ $dD + aA \rightarrow yY + zZ$ $cC + zZ \rightarrow growth$

User-defined parameters

- 1) Extracellular conditions
- LB broth, as casein and yeast extract ; temperature ; NTUs, et cetera

Download COBRA model from the BiGG Database:

📧 📧 1 to 108 (108) 🕟 🛞

 SBML ?: RECON1.xml (xml.gz, compressed)

 JSON ?: RECON1.json (json.gz, compressed)

 MAT ?: RECON1.mat (.mat.gz, compressed)

- 2) Bacterium species
- *Mycoplasma genitalium* => cell cycle, cell mass\volume, metabolic proportions
- 3) Inactivation method
- Photodynamic inactivation (PDI) with singlet oxygen
- Organic antimicrobial agents

Approximated parameters for M. genitalium

- 1) starvation proportion = $\left(\frac{mass_t}{mass_{t=0}}\right) = \frac{1}{3}$
- The fraction of initial mass below which the bacterium dies
- 2) ** translation rate = 4 $\left(\frac{codons}{second}\right)$
- The rate at which codons are transcribed into amino acids for protein synthesis
- 3) ** enzyme halflife = 5000 (seconds)
- The rate of enzyme degradation into amino acids for every enzyme
- 4) bacterial, electrical cell potential = 0.2 (volts) *
- Electric cell potential of the bacterium, which is the aggregation of all biochemical reactions
- 5) $T_{opt} = 310 \ ^{\circ}K$
- The optimum incubation temperature, which is the aggregate thermodynamics of the bacterium
- 6) ** reaction completeness = $\frac{reactions \ executed}{possible \ reactions} = 0.9$ • The proportion of necessary reactions to achieve $\left(\frac{Q}{K_{eq}}\right)_{opt}$ that are executed in a timestep

*Quite uncertain

Approximated parameters for *M. genitalium*

- Planktonic = 1; Detached = 0.8; Biofilm = 0.5; Persister = 0.000017)
- The relative metabolic reaction rate, which is informed through an interview of a Eukaryotic biologist
- ** vital energetic proportion = $\frac{(energetic proportion)_t}{(energetic proportion)_{t=0}} = \frac{1}{2}$ 8)
- The proportion of energetic chemicals relative to below which the bacterium dies ٠

Assumptions and limitations

1) Homogeneous bulk and cytoplasm

6) Singlet oxygen oxidizes only unsaturated lipids

2) Mass balance applies everywhere

7) Constant cell cycle times

Only three phases: Interphase, S, and mitosis

- 3) ** Need-based absorption
- need = $\left(\frac{Q}{K}\right)_{optimum} \left(\frac{Q}{K}\right)_{current}$ • $\left(\frac{Q}{K}\right)_{ontimum}$ is estimated from the incubation °*K*
- 8) Replication resets the bacterium

9) $\rho_{bacterium} = constant$

- 4) ** Boltzmann distribution to substrates
- 5) ** Transcription and/or enzymes are negligible

10) The acquired datasets are accurate

System dynamics

****** Membrane flux = mass balance

 $mass_{bacterium,t} = mass_{t=t-1} + mass_{net,t}$

 $mass_{net,t} = mass_{absorbed,t} - mass_{ejected,t}$

$$mass_{absorbed,t} = \sum_{i=1}^{a} n_{i,absorbed} * MW_i \qquad mass_{ejected,t} = \sum_{i=1}^{z} n_{i,ejected} * MW_i$$
$$a = \# absorbed molecules \qquad z = \# ejected molecules$$

$$V_{\text{bacterium,t}} = m_{bacterium,t} * \left(\frac{V_{\text{bacterium,0}} \approx 1 \, fL}{m_{bacterium,0} \approx 1 \, pg} = constant \right)$$

23

System boundaries

- $(\#viable \ bacterium)_{t=0} \approx 2E6 \ \left(\frac{CFU}{mL}\right) = 2E9 \ \left(\frac{CFU}{L}\right) = 2 \ \frac{CFU}{simulation} = 2 \ CFU \ ppm$
- $(#viable \ bacterium)_{t=final} \approx 2E9\left(\frac{CFU}{mL}\right) = 2E3\frac{CFU}{simulation}$

****** Proportion of interactions from bulk

substrate

 $\overrightarrow{V}_{rms} = rms \ velocity \ of \ substrates$ $r = \overrightarrow{V}_{rms} * \Delta t$ Surface area = probability

 $r = maximal \ distance \ for \ a \ substrate \ interaction \ in \ \Delta t \ pprox 0 \ probability \ of \ membrane \ interaction$

 $\approx \frac{1}{2}$ probability of membrane interaction

 ${\approx}45^{\circ}$ average angle between 0° and ${\approx}~90^{\circ}$

average probability of interaction =
$$\overline{P} = \frac{surface area (intercepted spherical cap)}{surface area (sphere)} = 14.6\%$$

****** Chemical adsorption

****** Optimal thermodynamics

$$\left(\frac{Q}{K_{eq}}\right)_{optimal} = e^{\frac{-n*F*E}{R*T_{opt}}}$$

1)
$$\Delta G = \Delta G^{0} + R * T_{opt} * ln(Q)$$

2)
$$\Delta G = -n * F * E$$

3)
$$\Delta G^{0} = -R * T_{opt} * ln(K_{eq})$$

$$\begin{split} \Delta G &= Gibbs \ free \ energy \\ \Delta G^0 &= Gibbs \ @ \ standard \ conditions \\ T_{opt} &= optimal \ bacterial \ incubation \ temperature \\ R &= gas \ constant \\ Q &= reaction \ quotient = \frac{[product]^n}{[reactant]^m} \end{split}$$

$$n = <\frac{e^{-}}{mol} >$$

F = Faraday's constant
E = electrical potential of the bacterium

 $K_{eq} = equilibrium \ constant = \frac{[product]^n}{[reactant]^m}$

$$-n * F * E = R * T_{opt} * \left(\ln Q - \ln K_{eq} \right)$$
$$\left(\frac{-n * F * E}{R * T_{opt}} \right) = \ln \left(\frac{Q}{K_{eq}} \right)$$

27

New directions

1) Thoroughly organize the reaction database

• Categorizing reactions as inter-\intra-compartmental

4) Compare with conventional methods

• Flux balance analysis via cobrapy module

2) Expand biochemical accuracies

• Introduce quorum sensing reactions

- 5) Introduce a visual depiction
- Matplotlib plots and tkinter GUI

3) Expand the bacterium model into a biofilm model

• Incorporate new functionalities like metabolic states

6) Implement antibiotic reactions

Parameterize ¹O₂ reactions

Membrane oxidation mechanisms

Suspension phase model

Modeled biofilm and membrane

Modeled bacteria

2

Biofouling

Figure 1. Biofilm growth on a semipermeable membrane (Image Credi : Anna Curtin)

Fundamental questions

Algorithm/Model	Assumptions/limitations	Model contribution
Rittmann model & Biofilm Accumulation model (BAM)	1) The biofilm is composed of dead+active bacteria and water 2) Constant Biofilm growth, [Substrate], and bulk volume	Foundation
Biofilm Growth model (BGM)	 The biofilm is composed of dead+active bacteria and water Constant Biofilm growth and [Substrate] 	Dynamic bulk volume
Digital Biofilm Model (DBM)	 Biofilms are two-phases: rigid bacteria and malleable EPS Proteins were only modeled in the EPS 	Accurate Biofilm composition
Individual-based algorithm	 Computational demands Bacteria are inelastic spheres Porosity is predestined by net vector daughter cell dispersal 	Natural evolution of population growth
Cellular automaton algorithm	 Heterogeneous bacteria and biofilm Unrealistic quantization of parameters Parameters values can be subjective 	Mature biofilm channelling

Whole Cell Biofilm Model

Algorithm/Model	Assumptions/limitations	Model contribution

Whole Cell Biofilm Model

Cellular Automaton algorithm

Fig. 2. The CA algorithm used in the UMCCA model. (a) Overflowing compartment, (b) The nearest two equidistant compartments to the overflowing compartment, (c) The algorithm randomly picks one of the two and places the new biomass in a neighboring compartment (bold compartment), while it shoves existing biomass along the path of least resistance.

с

Cellular Automaton algorithm

- Lattice Cartesian grid
- Stochastic selection of the closest unoccupied cells

Individual-based algorithm

Fig. 3. Sample output of the IbM by Picioreanu et al. [29] in (a) 2-D and (b) 3-D. (c) sample 2-D outpu

Individual-based algorithm

- Dispersal according to the net vector from cellular overlap

Bioassays

39